

# A Few Notes on Density Operators, Expectation Values and Matrix Shapes

David Meyer

dmm@{1-4-5.net,uoregon.edu}

Last update: April 29, 2021

## 1 Introduction

These notes started life as an experiment in drawing matrices and their shapes (see Section 4). However, it has evolved into a more ad-hoc collection of notes covering a few topics in quantum mechanics. So its a WIP. We start with a review of Orthonormality, Completeness, and Projection...

## 2 Orthonormality, Completeness, and Projection

As we saw above, unitary matrices are matrices which satisfy

$$\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}^\dagger \tag{1}$$

Unitary matrices are ubiquitous and important in quantum mechanics, in particular because they have the following unique and useful properties: Orthonormality, Completeness, and Projection [3]. We'll briefly look at each of these below<sup>1</sup>.

### 2.1 Orthonormality

We can rewrite Equation 1 as

$$\mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I} \tag{2}$$

where  $\mathbf{I}$  is the *identity* matrix. What Equation 2 is really telling us is that the columns of the matrix  $\mathbf{U}$  form a set of orthonormal vectors.

---

<sup>1</sup>I will use the notation  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$  and  $[x_1, \dots, x_n]^T$  interchangeably in the following discussion.

Note that we can interpret a matrix as a row vector where the entries are the columns  $\mathbf{v}_i$  of  $\mathbf{U}$ . That is

$$\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \mathbf{v}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{v}_N]$$

Similarly,  $\mathbf{U}^{-1}$  can be written as a column vector where the entries are the row vectors  $\mathbf{v}_i^\dagger$ :

$$\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^\dagger \\ \mathbf{v}_2^\dagger \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_N^\dagger \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{U} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^\dagger \\ \mathbf{v}_2^\dagger \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_N^\dagger \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \mathbf{v}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{v}_N] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_1^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 & \mathbf{v}_1^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_3 & \dots & \mathbf{v}_1^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_N \\ \mathbf{v}_2^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 & \mathbf{v}_2^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_3 & \dots & \mathbf{v}_2^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_N \\ \mathbf{v}_3^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_3^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 & \mathbf{v}_3^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_3 & \dots & \mathbf{v}_3^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_N^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_N^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 & \mathbf{v}_N^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_3 & \dots & \mathbf{v}_N^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{v}_N \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathbf{I} \end{aligned}$$

or in Dirac notation [2]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{U} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^\dagger \\ \mathbf{v}_2^\dagger \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_N^\dagger \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \mathbf{v}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathbf{v}_N] \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \langle v_1 | \\ \langle v_2 | \\ \vdots \\ \langle v_N | \end{bmatrix} [|v_1\rangle \quad |v_2\rangle \quad \dots \quad |v_N\rangle] \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \langle v_1 | v_1 \rangle & \langle v_1 | v_2 \rangle & \langle v_1 | v_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle v_1 | v_N \rangle \\ \langle v_2 | v_1 \rangle & \langle v_2 | v_2 \rangle & \langle v_2 | v_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle v_2 | v_N \rangle \\ \langle v_3 | v_1 \rangle & \langle v_3 | v_2 \rangle & \langle v_3 | v_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle v_3 | v_N \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle v_N | v_1 \rangle & \langle v_N | v_2 \rangle & \langle v_N | v_3 \rangle & \dots & \langle v_N | v_N \rangle \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \mathbf{I}
\end{aligned}$$

Note here that  $\langle v_i | v_i \rangle = 1$  (the  $v_i$  are unit vectors) and  $\langle v_i | v_j \rangle = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  ( $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are orthogonal). In quantum mechanics two states  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are said to be distinguishable or measurable if they are orthogonal, that is, if  $\langle v_i | v_j \rangle = 0$ .

Another way to say this to notice<sup>2</sup> that since  $(\mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{U})_{ij} = (\mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{U})_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ , the columns of  $\mathbf{U}$  can be written as the inner product  $\langle v_i | v_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ . Said another way, the vectors  $v_i$  form an orthonormal set. In particular, if  $\mathbf{V} = \{v_j\}$  is an orthonormal set, then for  $v_i, v_j \in \mathbf{V}$ , the inner product  $\langle v_i | v_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ . See Section 4 for a brief discussion on matrix shapes.

## 2.2 Completeness

From  $\mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$  we saw that we could derive orthonormality. But we also expect that  $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^\dagger = \mathbf{I}$ . It turns out that we can get something interesting by observing this. In particular

---

<sup>2</sup> $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker Delta function [4],  $\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } i = j \\ 0 & \text{when } i \neq j \end{cases}$

$$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^\dagger = [|v_1\rangle \quad |v_2\rangle \quad |v_3\rangle \quad \dots \quad |v_N\rangle] \begin{bmatrix} \langle v_1| \\ \langle v_2| \\ \langle v_3| \\ \vdots \\ \langle v_N| \end{bmatrix}$$

If we multiply this out we find that

$$|v_1\rangle \langle v_1| + |v_2\rangle \langle v_2| + \dots + |v_N\rangle \langle v_N| = \sum_{i=1}^N |v_i\rangle \langle v_i| = \mathbf{I} \quad (3)$$

Equation 3 is known as the *completeness* relation.

Completeness turns out to be useful and is a sort of a "dual" of orthonormality. While orthonormality is kind of an "inner product" ( $\mathbf{U}^\dagger\mathbf{U}$ ), completeness is like an outer product in that  $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^\dagger$  is a sum over  $i$  of  $|v_i\rangle \langle v_i|$ , although the shapes might be seen as reversed (see Section 4 on shapes).

### 2.3 Projection

To get an idea of what projection is all about, consider the expansion of a vector into components in a basis:

$$|w\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i |v_i\rangle \quad (4)$$

Now, if the set of vectors basis vectors  $\{v_i\}$  are orthonormal, then we know that

$$w_i = \langle v_i|w\rangle$$

and substituting back into Equation 4 we get

$$|w\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle v_i|w\rangle |v_i\rangle$$

Interestingly, there is another way to derive this result: use the completeness relation, which is simply a fancy but useful way to write  $\mathbf{I}$ :

$$|w\rangle = \mathbf{I} \cdot |w\rangle = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N |v_i\rangle \langle v_i| \right) |w\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N |v_i\rangle \langle v_i|w\rangle$$

In words, we were able to use the completeness relation to project a vector onto its components in a particular basis.

For example, we know that for vectors  $|\alpha\rangle$  and  $|\beta\rangle$ , we can take the inner product between them by using their components in a basis  $\{v_i\}$ :

$$\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^* b_i$$

where  $a_i = \langle v_i | \alpha \rangle$  and  $b_i = \langle v_i | \beta \rangle$ . Interestingly, we can again derive this using the completeness relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle &= \langle \alpha | \mathbf{I} | \beta \rangle && \# \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha | | \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha | \mathbf{I} | \beta \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha | \left( \sum_{i=1}^N |v_i\rangle \langle v_i| \right) | \beta \rangle && \# \sum_{i=1}^N |v_i\rangle \langle v_i| = \mathbf{I} \text{ (Equation 3)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \alpha | v_i \rangle \langle v_i | \beta \rangle && \# \text{rearrange} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \langle v_i | \alpha \rangle^* \langle v_i | \beta \rangle && \# \langle a | b \rangle = \langle b | a \rangle^* \text{ so } \langle \alpha | v_i \rangle = \langle v_i | \alpha \rangle^* \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N a_i^* b_i && \# a_i^* = \langle v_i | \alpha \rangle^* \text{ and } b_i = \langle v_i | \beta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Expectation Values

Consider an observable  $\mathbf{A}$  in the pure state  $|\psi\rangle$ . The expectation value  $\langle A \rangle_\psi$  is given by

$$\langle A \rangle_\psi = \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle \tag{5}$$

where  $\dim(\langle \psi |) = 1 \times n$ ,  $\dim(A) = n \times n$ , and  $\dim(|\psi\rangle) = n \times 1$ .

So why is  $\langle A \rangle_\psi$  an expectation? Well, first, if  $A$  is an observable for a system with a discrete set of values  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N\}$ , then this observable is represented by a Hermitean operator

$\hat{A}$  that has these discrete values as its eigenvalues, and associated eigenstates  $\{|a_n\rangle\}$ , for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  satisfying the eigenvalue equation  $\hat{A}|a_n\rangle = a_n|a_n\rangle$ . I drop the "hat" in most of the below.

First, observe that  $\langle a_n|A = a_n\langle a_n|$ . Why?

$$\begin{aligned}
A|a_n\rangle &= a_n|a_n\rangle && \# \text{ eigenvalue equation for } A \ (A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}) \\
\implies (A|a_n\rangle)^\dagger &= (a_n|a_n\rangle)^\dagger && \# \text{ conjugate transpose both sides} \\
\implies |a_n\rangle^\dagger A^\dagger &= |a_n\rangle^\dagger a_n^\dagger && \# (AB)^\dagger = B^\dagger A^\dagger \\
\implies |a_n\rangle^\dagger A^\dagger &= a_n^\dagger |a_n\rangle^\dagger && \# \text{ rearrange } (a_n^\dagger \text{ is a scalar}) \\
\implies |a_n\rangle^\dagger A &= a_n^\dagger |a_n\rangle^\dagger && \# A \text{ is Hermitian so } A = A^\dagger \\
\implies |a_n\rangle^\dagger A &= a_n^* |a_n\rangle^\dagger && \# a_n^\dagger = a_n^* \ (a_n \text{ is a scalar}) \\
\implies \langle a_n|A &= a_n^* \langle a_n| && \# |a_n\rangle^\dagger = \langle a_n| \\
\implies \langle a_n|A &= a_n \langle a_n| && \# a_n^* = a_n
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

But why does  $a_n^* = a_n$  (last line of (6))? Well, consider

$$\begin{aligned}
AX &= \lambda X && \# \text{ eigenvalue equation} \\
\implies X^\dagger A^\dagger &= X^\dagger \lambda^\dagger && \# (AB)^\dagger = B^\dagger A^\dagger \\
\implies X^\dagger A^\dagger &= \lambda^\dagger X^\dagger && \# \text{ rearrange } (\lambda^\dagger \text{ is a scalar}) \\
\implies X^\dagger A^\dagger &= \lambda^* X^\dagger && \# \lambda^\dagger = \lambda^* \ (\lambda \text{ is a scalar}) \\
\implies X^\dagger A &= \lambda^* X^\dagger && \# A^\dagger = A \text{ since } A \text{ is Hermitian} \\
\implies X^\dagger A &= X^\dagger \lambda^* && \# \text{ rearrange} \\
\implies X^\dagger AX &= X^\dagger \lambda^* X && \# \text{ multiply both sides by } X
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Now notice that if we multiply both sides of the original eigenvalue equation ( $AX = \lambda X$ ) by  $X^\dagger$  we get  $X^\dagger AX = X^\dagger \lambda X$ . We know from (7) that  $X^\dagger AX = X^\dagger \lambda^* X$  and therefore that  $X^\dagger \lambda^* X = X^\dagger \lambda X$ . This implies that  $\lambda^* = \lambda$ , so  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Similarly  $a_n^* = a_n$  so  $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Another way to look at this is to assume the computational basis<sup>3</sup> and then

---

<sup>3</sup>The approach taken in (6) doesn't seem to require this assumption.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle a_n | A &= a_n \langle n | A && \# \langle a_n | = a_n [0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots 0] = a_n \langle n | \\
&= a_n \langle n | A^\dagger && \# A \text{ is Hermitian so } A = A^\dagger \\
&= a_n \langle n | \begin{bmatrix} \langle a_1 | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_n | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_N | \end{bmatrix} && \# A^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} \langle a_1 | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_n | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_N | \end{bmatrix} \\
&= a_n [0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots 0] \begin{bmatrix} \langle a_1 | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_n | \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_N | \end{bmatrix} && \# \langle n | = [0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots 0] \\
&= a_n \langle a_n | && \# \langle n | \text{ selects the } n^{\text{th}} \text{ element of } A^\dagger, \langle a_n |
\end{aligned}$$

In any event, now we have  $\langle a_n | A = a_n \langle a_n |$ . So we can observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle A \rangle_\psi &= \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle && \# \text{ definition of } \langle A \rangle_\psi \text{ for pure state } |\psi\rangle \\
&= \langle \psi | I A | \psi \rangle && \# I \cdot A = A \\
&= \langle \psi | \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n\rangle \langle a_n| \right) A | \psi \rangle && \# \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n\rangle \langle a_n| = \mathbf{I} \text{ (Equation 3)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \psi | a_n \rangle \langle a_n | A | \psi \rangle && \# \text{ rearrange} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \psi | a_n \rangle a_n \langle a_n | \psi \rangle && \# \langle a_n | A = a_n \langle a_n | \text{ (see above)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \psi | a_n \rangle \langle a_n | \psi \rangle a_n && \# \text{ rearrange} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle \psi | a_n \rangle|^2 a_n && \# |\langle \psi | a_n \rangle|^2 = \langle \psi | a_n \rangle \langle \psi | a_n \rangle^* = \langle \psi | a_n \rangle \langle a_n | \psi \rangle \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N p(a_n) a_n && \# |\langle \psi | a_n \rangle|^2 = p(a_n), \text{ the probability of observing eigenvalue } a_n \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{N_n}{N} a_n && \# N_n \text{ is the number of times } a_n \text{ has been measured} \\
&= \mathbb{E}[A] && \# \mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{n=1}^N p(X_n) X_n
\end{aligned}$$

So the expectation value for the result of a measurement represented by a self-adjoint operator  $A$ ,  $\langle A \rangle_\psi$ , is the weighted average of all possible outcomes under  $A$ , that is,  $\mathbb{E}[A]$ .

## 4 Shapes

One way to visualize  $\langle A \rangle_\psi$  is

$$\langle A \rangle_\psi \rightarrow \underbrace{[\dots\dots\dots]}_{1 \times n} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times n} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots \\ \vdots \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times 1} \\ \rightarrow c$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The *density operator*  $\rho$  for pure state  $|\psi\rangle$  is given by  $\rho = |\psi\rangle \langle\psi|$ . The shape of  $\rho$  is

$$\rho \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots \\ \vdots \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times 1} \underbrace{[\dots\dots\dots]}_{1 \times n} \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times n}$$

The shape of the inner product of two  $n \times 1$  column vectors  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle u|v \rangle = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v}$  is

$$\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} \rightarrow \underbrace{[\dots\dots\dots]}_{1 \times n} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots \\ \vdots \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times 1} \rightarrow c$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . The shape of the outer product  $\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v} = |u\rangle \langle v| = \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}^T$  is

$$\mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}^T \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots \\ \vdots \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times 1} \underbrace{[\dots\dots\dots]}_{1 \times n} \rightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times n}$$



## 5 The Density $\rho$ and the Trace of an Operator $D$

So  $\rho$  is an  $n \times n$  linear operator with  $\text{Tr}(\rho) = \text{Tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = \langle\psi|\psi\rangle$ . In addition,  $\text{Tr}(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|) = \langle\psi_i|\psi_i\rangle = \delta_{ii} = 1$ , and if  $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}$  is an orthonormal basis then  $\text{Tr}(|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_j|) = \langle\psi_i|\psi_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$ .

The density matrix [1]  $\rho$  has the following important properties:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Projection:} & \rho^2 = \rho \\ \text{Hermiticity:} & \rho^\dagger = \rho \\ \text{Normalization:} & \text{Tr}(\rho) = 1 \\ \text{Positivity:} & \rho \geq 1 \end{array}$$

The *trace* of an operator  $D$ ,  $\text{Tr}(D)$ , is defined to be  $\text{Tr}(D) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle n|D|n\rangle$ . Now, suppose  $D = |\psi\rangle\langle\phi|$ . Then we can see that  $\text{Tr}(D) = \text{Tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|) = \langle\phi|\psi\rangle$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(D) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle n|D|n\rangle && \# \text{ definition of } \text{Tr}(D) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle n|(|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|)|n\rangle && \# D = |\psi\rangle\langle\phi| \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle n|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|n\rangle && \# \text{ drop parens} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle n|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|n\rangle && \# \langle a|b\rangle = \langle a|b\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle\phi|n\rangle\langle n|\psi\rangle && \# \text{ rearrange} \\ &= \langle\phi|\left(\sum_{n=1}^N |n\rangle\langle n|\right)|\psi\rangle && \# \text{ neither } \phi \text{ nor } \psi \text{ depend on } n \\ &= \langle\phi|I|\psi\rangle && \# \sum_{n=1}^N |n\rangle\langle n| = \mathbf{I} \text{ (Equation 3)} \\ &= \langle\phi|\psi\rangle && \# \langle\phi|I = \langle\phi| \text{ and } I|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle \\ &= \langle\phi|\psi\rangle && \# \langle\phi|\psi\rangle = \langle\phi|\psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

So the trace of the outer product  $|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|$ ,  $\text{Tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|)$ , is the inner product  $\langle\phi|\psi\rangle$ .

A simple theorem relates the expectation value of an observable  $A$  in a state represented by a density matrix  $\rho$  to the trace of  $A$ :

$$\langle A \rangle_\rho = \text{Tr}(\rho A) \tag{8}$$

The proof of Equation 8 is also pretty simple:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}(\rho A) &= \text{Tr}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| A) && \# \rho \equiv |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle n| |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| A |n\rangle && \# \text{definition of Tr}(\cdot) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle n|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| A |n\rangle && \# \langle n|\psi\rangle = \langle n| |\psi\rangle \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \langle\psi| A |n\rangle \langle n|\psi\rangle && \# \text{rearrange} \\
&= \langle\psi| A \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |n\rangle\langle n| \right) |\psi\rangle && \# \text{neither } A \text{ nor } \psi \text{ depend on } n \\
&= \langle\psi| A \cdot I |\psi\rangle && \# \sum_{n=1}^N |n\rangle\langle n| = \mathbf{I} \text{ (Equation 3)} \\
&= \langle\psi| A |\psi\rangle && \# \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{A} \\
&= \langle A \rangle_{\psi} && \# \langle A \rangle_{\psi} = \langle\psi| A |\psi\rangle \text{ (Equation 5)}
\end{aligned}$$

## 6 A More General View of the Density Operator

Consider an ensemble of identical quantum systems. The system has probability  $w_i$  to be in quantum state  $|\psi_i\rangle$ . Here  $\langle\psi_i|\psi_i\rangle = 1$ , but the states  $|\psi_i\rangle$  aren't necessarily orthogonal to one another. That means that out of all the examples in the ensemble, a fraction  $w_i$  are in state  $|\psi_i\rangle$ , with  $w_i > 0$  and  $\sum_i w_i = 1$ .

The expectation value for the result of a measurement represented by a self-adjoint operator  $A$  is

$$\langle A \rangle_{\psi} = \sum_i w_i \langle\psi_i| A |\psi_i\rangle \tag{9}$$

We can write the expectation value in a different way using a basis  $|K\rangle$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle A \rangle_\psi &= \sum_i w_i \langle \psi_i | A | \psi_i \rangle && \# \text{ definition of } \langle A \rangle_\psi, \text{ Equation 9} \\
&= \sum_i w_i \langle \psi_i | I A I | \psi_i \rangle && \# \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{I} \\
&= \sum_i w_i \langle \psi_i | \left( \sum_J |J\rangle \langle J| \right) | A | \left( \sum_K |K\rangle \langle K| \right) | \psi_i \rangle && \# \sum_J |J\rangle \langle J| = \mathbf{I}, \sum_K |K\rangle \langle K| = \mathbf{I} \\
&= \sum_i w_i \sum_{J,K} \langle \psi_i | J \rangle \langle J | A | K \rangle \langle K | \psi_i \rangle && \# \text{ rearrange} \\
&= \sum_i w_i \sum_{J,K} \langle K | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | J \rangle \langle J | A | K \rangle && \# \text{ rearrange} \\
&= \sum_{J,K} \sum_i w_i \langle K | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | J \rangle \langle J | A | K \rangle && \# \text{ none of } A, J, \text{ or } K \text{ depend on } i \\
&= \sum_{J,K} \langle K | \left( \sum_i w_i | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | \right) | J \rangle \langle J | A | K \rangle && \# \text{ rearrange} \\
&= \sum_{J,K} \langle K | \rho | J \rangle \langle J | A | K \rangle && \# \rho \equiv \sum_i w_i | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | \\
&= \sum_K \langle K | \rho I A | K \rangle && \# \sum_J |J\rangle \langle J| = \mathbf{I} \\
&= \sum_K \langle K | \rho A | K \rangle && \# \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} \\
&= \text{Tr}(\rho A) && \# \text{Tr}(D) = \sum_n \langle n | D | n \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

## 6.1 Properties of the Density Operator

As mentioned above, there are several important properties of the density operator  $\rho$ . The first of these is that  $\text{Tr}(\rho) = 1$ . This follows from  $w_i$  has  $w_i > 0$  and  $\sum_i w_i = 1$ .

Next,  $\rho$  is self-adjoint:  $\rho^\dagger = \rho$ . Because it is self-adjoint,  $\rho$  has eigenvectors  $|J\rangle$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_J$  and the eigenvectors form a basis for vector space. Thus  $\rho$  has a standard spectral representation

$$\rho = \sum_J \lambda_J |J\rangle \langle J|$$

We can express  $\lambda_J$  as  $\lambda_J = \langle J | \rho | J \rangle$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_J &= \langle J | \rho | J \rangle && \# \\
&= \langle J | \left( \sum_i w_i | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | \right) | J \rangle && \# \rho = \sum_i w_i | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | \\
&= \sum_i w_i \langle J | \psi_i \rangle \langle \psi_i | J \rangle && \# \text{ rearrange} \\
&= \sum_i w_i \langle J | \psi_i \rangle \langle J | \psi_i \rangle^* && \# \langle J | \psi_i \rangle^* = \langle \psi_i | J \rangle \\
&= \sum_i w_i |\langle J | \psi_i \rangle|^2 && \# \langle J | \psi_i \rangle \langle J | \psi_i \rangle^* = |\langle J | \psi_i \rangle|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $w_i > 0$  and  $|\langle J|\psi_i\rangle|^2 > 0$ , each eigenvalue must be non-negative, that is,  $\lambda_J \geq 0$ . In addition, the trace of  $\rho$  is the sum of its eigenvalues, so  $\sum_J \lambda_J = 1$ . Since each eigenvalue is non-negative,  $\lambda_J \leq 1$ .

Another way to see why  $|\langle a_n|\psi\rangle|^2 = p(a_n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\psi\rangle &= I|\psi\rangle & \# \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{X} \\
 &= \sum_n |a_n\rangle \langle a_n|\psi\rangle & \# \sum_n |a_n\rangle \langle a_n| &= I \\
 &= \sum_n |a_n\rangle \langle a_n|\psi\rangle & \# \langle a_n|\psi\rangle &= \langle a_n|\psi\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $\langle a_n|\psi\rangle$  is the amplitude of  $|a_n\rangle$ , making  $|\langle a_n|\psi\rangle|^2 = p(a_n)$ .

## 7 Acknowledgements

## References

- [1] Frank Porter. Physics 125c Course Notes: Density Matrix Formalism. <http://www.cithec.caltech.edu/~fcp/physics/quantumMechanics/densityMatrix/densityMatrix.pdf>, 2011. [Online; accessed 20-Dec-2018].
- [2] F. Gieres. Mathematical surprises and Dirac's formalism in quantum mechanics. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 63:1893–1931, December 2000.
- [3] J. D. Cresser. Probability, expectation value and uncertainty. <http://physics.mq.edu.au/~jcresser/Phys301/Chapters/Chapter14.pdf>, 2007. [Online; accessed 20-Dec-2018].
- [4] Wikipedia contributors. Kronecker delta — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Kronecker\\_delta&oldid=862709627](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Kronecker_delta&oldid=862709627), 2018. [Online; accessed 11-December-2018].